Extended multi-physics model for slow-cook off events of warheads

Insensitive Munitions & Energetic Materials Technology Symposium

Dr.-Ing. Markus Graswald, Dr. Raphael Gutser, and Manuel Schweizer

> Seville, Spain April 21 – 24, 2019







TDW – a pioneer for insensitive lethal packages

- ANS (D / FR)
 - One of the first programs using PBX in early 1980s
 - Studying, developing, and testing various PBX charges
- ALARM (UK)
 - Series production with a cast-cured PBX charge started in 1988



ALARM warhead with cast-cured PBX (1988)



ANS warhead with cast-cured PBX (1985)



Scaled ANS test vessel after an impact test (1982)

Folie 2





Requirements on modern lethal packages

• Defined IM threats und required response level according to STANAG 4439

| Threat | Full Scale Test Procedure in accordance with | Requirement: No response more severe than | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Magazine/store fire or aircraft/vehicle fuel fire (Fast Cook-off - FCO) | STANAG 4240 | Type V (Burning) | | |
| Fire in an adjacent magazine, store or vehicle (Slow Cook-off - SCO) | STANAG 4382 | Type V (Burning) | | |
| Small arms attack (Bullet Impact - BI) | STANAG 4241 | Type V (Burning) | | |
| Fragmenting munition attack (Fragment Impact - FI) | STANAG 4496 | Type V (Burning) | | |
| Shaped charge weapon attack (Shaped Charge Jet - SCJ) | STANAG 4526 | Type III (Explosion) | | |
| Reaction propagation in magazine, store, air-craft or vehicle (Sympathetic Reaction - SR) | STANAG 4396 | Type III (Explosion) | | |

18.10.2019

Folie 3





an MBDA company



TDWs systematic IM assessment approach for thermal stimuli

| Standardized SCO / FCO vessel tests Scale: ~350 g HE | M&S supporting warhead and thermal mitigation measures design Small-scale to full-scale | Full-scale IM SCO or FCO tests Lethal package or munition scale |
|---|--|---|
| | | |
| Tests according to AOP-39, STANAG 4439, and STANAG 4382 or 4240 whenever possible Confined HE charge in standar- dized vessel with built-in venting mechanism allowing temperature measurements inside the HE charge | Multi-physics modeling of self-heating behavior for predicting time to reaction and temperatures at reaction Transient FE model including multi-physics thermal model predicting SCO or FCO responses of full-scale warheads | Tests according to AOP-39, STANAG 4439, and STANAG 4382 or 4240 |
| Thermal sensivitity of HE charges Physical properties at slow heating Venting behavior | Thermal response of full-scale warheads including predictions of Time to reaction Temperatures at reaction Spatial temperature profiles Hot spot locations | IM compliance on • Subsystem level or • System level |
| , no m | Additional and a set of the set | Add STANAG 4382 or 4240 whenever possible Confined HE charge in standar- dized vessel with built-in venting mechanism allowing temperature measurements inside the HE charge Thermal sensivitity of HE charges Physical properties at slow heating Venting behavior |



Relevant earlier publications on thermal experiments and simulations

 M. Graswald and R. Gutser. Thermal Modeling of Fast Cook-offs. In Proceedings of the Insensitive Munitions & Energetic Materials Technology Symposium, Portland, OR, 2018.

M. Graswald, R. Gutser, and E. Waldner. Modeling of Thermal Reactions and Associated Events. In Proceedings of the 30th International Symposium on Ballistics, Long Beach, CA, 2017.

M. Graswald and R. Gutser. Thermal Modeling of Slow Cook-off Responses. In Proceedings of the Insensitive Munitions & Energetic Materials Technology Symposium, Nashville, TN, 2016.

18.10.2019

Folie 5





18.10.2019

Folie 6





SCO test vessels

- TDWs standardized SCO test vessel
 - Cast-cured or pressed HE charges of ~350 g
 - Confinement with intrinsic venting mechanism
 - Two thermocouples integrated
- Shaped charge vessel
 - HE charge of approx. 3,000 g
 - Soft confinement through AI casing and end cap



| PBX | Туре | Composition | Application |
|------|------------|--|--------------------------|
| KS57 | Cast-cured | RDX / AP / AI / HTPB (24 / 40 / 24 / 12) | Underwater |
| KS22 | Cast-cured | RDX / AI / HTPB (67 / 18 / 15) | Blast / Frag, Penetrator |
| P31 | Pressed | HMX / Si (96 / 4) | Shaped Charge |

18.10.2019

Folie 7

M. Graswald, R. Gutser, and M. Schweizer: Extended SCO model (IMEMTS 2019)

an **MBDA** company



Experiments with TDWs slow cook-off vessel

Test results showing oven remnants and vessel fragments

• Type IV response for SCO test vessel, Type V for shaped charge (SC) vessel



Folie 8





Measured temperatures indicate self-heating and provide ignition data





Folie 9





Events observed by HS video recordings correlate with temperature curves

- Slow cook-off test #2 of a KS22 charge sample
- Evacuation of material and gases during self-heating followed by onset of reaction



Close view: venting effects observed

Site overview: onset of reaction

18.10.2019

Folie 10





18.10.2019

.9

Folie 11





0.84

Modeling the heat transfer process into solid media

Transient heat transfer equation in cylindrical coordinates

$$\rho c_p \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r k \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} \right) + Q \left(r, t \right)$$

- Multi-physics coupling of a model-free reaction kinetics with internal heat generation Q
- AKTS-Thermokinetics for $A_{\alpha}f(\alpha)$ and $E_{\alpha}(\alpha)$ from DSC tests

$$Q(r,t) = q \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} = q A_{\alpha} f(\alpha) e^{-\frac{E_{\alpha}(\alpha)}{R\theta}}$$

System of coupled PDEs solved numerically with MATLAB

18.10.2019

Folie 12

M. Graswald, R. Gutser, and M. Schweizer: Extended SCO model (IMEMTS 2019)







- - KS22



Modeling the heat transfer process into solid media

Model extended for simulating pressures resulting from HE charge's self-heating
 i) Isochoric heating of the air inside the completely closed test vessel

$$p\left(t\right) = \frac{\theta\left(t\right)}{\theta_{a}}p_{a}$$

ii) Period with increasing pressures resulting from gases produced by heat transfer in the oven and and self-heating of the explosive charge

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{R}{V} \left(\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} \theta + n \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \right) \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = \beta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$$

iii) Heat and pressure dissipation with temperatures and pressures relieved to ambient conditions as a result of gas venting

$$Q_{v}(r,t) = \frac{A_{v}\Delta p(r,t)}{c} \frac{c_{p}}{V} \gamma \theta(r,t) \qquad p_{v}(r,t) = \frac{A_{v}\Delta p(r,t)}{c} \frac{c_{p}}{V} \theta(r,t)$$

Folie 13





Simulating temperatures & pressures in KS22 for a complete heating cycle

• Measured curves are plotted for sensors located at oven, HE center, and border



18.10.2019

M. Gr

Folie 14





18.10.2019

Folie 15





Extended slow-cook off modeling and simulation study

- A standardized, confined SCO vessel is used to experimentally investigate thermal sensitivities of HE charges and provide valuable temperature data
- New modeling approach including reaction kinetics using MATLAB
 - Self-heating rates and times predicted with a thermal model match well experimental data
 - Simulating temperature and pressures curves for a complete heating cycle including period of gas venting through end cap's hole of the test vessel
 - Allows design and evaluations of mitigation measures such as venting technologies
- Thermal models can be easily applied to other full-scale warhead systems allowing a prediction of their IM conformance

Potential future efforts

• Experimental verification and optimization of thermal simulation models through pressure and gas flow measurements at the casing and inside the HE charge





IM signatures of lethal packages made by TDW

• Continuously improving their insensitivity

| | | ESSM | PRG A | PRG B | PRG C | PRG D | PRG E | PRG F | PRG G | PRG H | PRG I |
|-------------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Threat | | Blast / Frag | Blast / Frag | Shaped Charge + Frag | Penetrator | Anti-Ship Penetrator | Anti-Ship Penetrator | Shaped Charge | Blast / Frag | Blast / Frag | Shaped Charge |
| | | KS33 | KS32 | KS33 | KS22 | KS22 | KS22 | KS33 | KS33 | KS32 | KS33 |
| Fast Heating | v | V | V | V | (Missile Level) | V | V | V | V | V | V |
| Slow Heating | v | V | IV (bare WH) | | | IV (bare WH) | IV (bare WH) | V | V (bare WH) | V | V |
| Bullet Impact | v | V | V | V (Missile Level IV bare WH) | better V | IV | V | V | better V | V | V |
| Sympathetic Reaction | ш | better (TDW ass. IV) | | better V | | IV | better V | | better V | V | V |
| Fragment Impact | v | (4 cubes 0.5" @ 2530m/s) | | | | (2530m/s) | better V | (2530m/s) | | (1830m/s) | (1830m/s) |
| Shaped Charge | ш | | | | | | | | | | |

18.10.2019

Folie 17





Flexible solutions for an uncertain, but secure future – Reliable. Customer-oriented. Creating value.

TDW Gesellschaft für verteidigungstechnische Wirksysteme mbH

Dr.-Ing. Markus Graswald

Head of Future Systems and System Simulation D-86523 Schrobenhausen Germany

+49 (0) 82 52 99 7264 markus.graswald@mbda-systems.de



18.10.2019

Folie 18